



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/376,651	08/18/1999	NOBORU AOYAMA	JA9-98-073	6743

24033 7590 05/29/2003

KONRAD RAYNES VICTOR & MANN, LLP
315 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE
SUITE 210
BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212

EXAMINER

YAN, REN LUO

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2854

DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/376,651

Applicant(s)

AOYAMA, NOBORU

Examiner

Ren L Yan

Art Unit

2854

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 October 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-38 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains more than one paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The drawings are objected to because Figs. 5-9 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The specification does not provide brief descriptions for drawing figures 8 and 9.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 19 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The originally filed specification only supports that a weight idler 110 in the form of a roller 113 rotatably supported as shown in Figs. 1-4 is used in the present invention to stabilize the paper medium and there is no disclosure provided that a non-rotatable member is used.

Art Unit: 2854

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2, 3, 5, 7-12, 14, 16-18, 20, 21, 23-25, 27, 29, 30 and 32-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 2-81842. The JP 2-81842 reference teaches the detailed structure of a medium feeding device in a sheet-fed printing press as claimed including align rollers 4 and 14 for aligning the paper sheet 1 in the two perpendicular directions in a horizontal plane (equivalent to the vertical and lateral directions as called by the applicant in the claims), and a feed assistance member in a form of a brush roller 29 mounted on a shaft 28 for applying pressure to the paper sheet 1 so as to stabilize the paper sheet during the aligning operation. The brush roller 29 is not rotatably connected to the align rollers 4 and 14 and is not vertically aligned with the align rollers as recited. The brush roller 29 is mounted between the align rollers 4 and 14 as recited. See Figs. 1-4 and the enclosed English translation of JP 2-81842 for details. With respect to claims 5 and 12, the brush roller 29 is aligned in the direction of the paper sheet movement as recited. With respect to claims 7, 8, 16 and 17, the brush roller 29 supported by a bracket arm 22 pivotally mounted at pivot 27 for up and down movement by the force of paper sheet and a spring member 30 is disposed to press the brush roller 29 downward against the paper. Regarding claim 20, the brush roller is rotatably mounted on a shaft ²⁸~~30~~ supported in a bracket arm 22 so as to move by a force from the paper sheet and the shaft 28 is not aligned with either of the align rollers 4 and 14.

Art Unit: 2854

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 6, 13, 15, 22, 26, 28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2-81842 in view of De Falco et al(5,088,848). With respect to claims 4,13, and 22, JP 2-81842 does not use align rollers with a non-circular cross section. The patent to De Falco et al teaches in a paper sheet feeding device the conventional use of align rollers 48 and 55 with a non-circular cross section rotating in different phases so as to align the sheet alternately in two perpendicular directions. See Fig. 1 in De Falco et al for example. It would have been obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art to provide the align rollers of JP 2-81842 with a non-circular cross section appropriately disposed as taught by De Falco et al in order to effectively move the sheet alternately in the two perpendicular directions so as to effectively align the sheet before printing. With respect to claims 6 and 15, JP 2-81842 does not show how the shaft is mounted on the bracket arm 22. De Falco et al teach in the sheet feeding device the use of bracket 60 having two arms each with an open groove 67 for supporting the roller shafts of 45, 47 and 59. See Fig. 3 in De Falco et al for example. It would have been obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art to provide the bracket arm 22 of JP 2-81842 with open grooves to support the brush roller shaft 28 as taught by De Falco et al as an effective way to support a roller shaft. Regarding claims 28 and 31, it is unclear how many align rollers sections 4 there is across the paper width in JP 2-81842. De Falco et al teach the use of two align rollers 48 spaced apart to align the sheet in one direction. It would have been obvious to those having ordinary

Art Unit: 2854

skill in the art to provide JP 2-81842 with two align rollers 4 spaced apart as taught by De Falco et al so as to ensure more even movement of the sheet during the sheet aligning operation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ren L Yan whose telephone number is 703-308-0978. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on 703-305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.



Ren L Yan
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2854

Ren Yan
May 26, 2003